

A Class of Permutation Binomials over Finite Fields

Xiang-dong Hou

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of South Florida

CanadAM, Newfoundland, June 10-13, 2013

- I. Permutation polynomials over finite fields
- II. The main theorem
- III. Sketch of a part of the proof

I. Permutation polynomials over finite fields

I. Permutation polynomials over finite fields

- Every function from \mathbb{F}_q to \mathbb{F}_q can be represented by a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{x}]$.
- $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{x}]$ is called a **permutation polynomial** (PP) of \mathbb{F}_q if the mapping $x \mapsto f(x)$ is a permutation of \mathbb{F}_q .
- PPs in simple algebraic forms are interesting. Such PPs are sometimes the result of the mysterious interplay between the algebraic and combinatorial structures of the finite field.
- Permutation **binomials** over finite fields are particularly interesting and have received much attention.

A criterion

Criterion

f is a PP of \mathbb{F}_q if and only if

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(x)^s = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq s \leq q-2, \\ -1 & \text{if } s = q-1. \end{cases}$$

II. The main theorem

II. The main theorem

Statement of the theorem

Theorem 1.

Let $f = tx + x^{2q-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, where $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then f is a PP of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if one of the following occurs:

- (i) $t = 1, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;
- (ii) $t = -3, q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$;
- (iii) $t = 3, q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$.

Statement of the theorem

Theorem 1.

Let $f = tx + x^{2q-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, where $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then f is a PP of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if and only if one of the following occurs:

- (i) $t = 1, q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;
- (ii) $t = -3, q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$;
- (iii) $t = 3, q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$.

Remark. The result was conjectured in a recent study of PPs defined by a functional equation. (Fernando, H, Lappano 2013)

III. Sketch of a part of the proof

III. Sketch of a part of the proof

- We will sketch the proof that $f = tx + x^{2q-1}$ ($t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$) is a PP of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} if
 - (ii) $t = -3$, $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$, or
 - (iii) $t = 3$, $q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$.
- This part of the proof is interesting because of an unexpected new tool.

Assume

(ii) $t = -3$, $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$, or

(iii) $t = 3$, $q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$.

We want to show that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} f(x)^s = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq s \leq q^2 - 2.$$

It can be shown that the power sum is 0 unless $s = \alpha + \beta q$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = q - 1$ and α is odd.

Power sum

Assume

- (ii) $t = -3$, $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}$, or (iii) $t = 3$, $q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$;
- $s = \alpha + \beta q$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = q - 1$ and α is odd.

We found that

$$C \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*} f(x)^s = \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i} \binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2} - i}{\alpha} (-1)^i 3^{2i+1} + \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i} \binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2} - i + \frac{q+1}{2}}{\alpha} (-1)^i 3^{2i},$$

where $C \neq 0$.

p -adic integers

Let \mathbb{Z}_p be the ring of p -adic integers. In $\mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p (= \mathbb{F}_p)$,

$\binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i+\frac{q+1}{2}}{\alpha} = \binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i} \binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i}{\alpha} (-1)^i 3^{2i+1} + \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i} \binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i+\frac{q+1}{2}}{\alpha} (-1)^i 3^{2i} \\ &= \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i} \binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i}{\alpha} (-1)^i 3^{2i+1} + \sum_i \binom{\alpha}{i} \binom{\frac{3\alpha-1}{2}-i+\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha} (-1)^i 3^{2i} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha! 2^\alpha} \left[\sum_i \binom{2n+1}{i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (6n-2i+4-2j) \right) (-1)^i 3^{2i+1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_i \binom{2n+1}{i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (6n-2i+5-2j) \right) (-1)^i 3^{2i} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = 2n + 1$.

An interesting development

Let

$$S_1(n) = \sum_i \binom{2n+1}{i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (6n - 2i + 4 - 2j) \right) (-1)^i 3^{2i+1},$$

$$S_2(n) = \sum_i \binom{2n+1}{i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (6n - 2i + 5 - 2j) \right) (-1)^i 3^{2i}.$$

The goal is to show that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha! 2^\alpha} (S_1(n) + S_2(n)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p. \quad (1)$$

At least we should have $S_1(n) + S_2(n) = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p$.

$S_1(n)$ and $S_2(n)$ are **independent** of p and p is **arbitrary**. So we must show that

$$S_1(n) + S_2(n) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2)$$

Note that (2) implies (1).

Theorem 2

Theorem 2. Let

$$S_1(n) = \sum_i \binom{2n+1}{i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (6n - 2i + 4 - 2j) \right) (-1)^i 3^{2i+1},$$

$$S_2(n) = \sum_i \binom{2n+1}{i} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (6n - 2i + 5 - 2j) \right) (-1)^i 3^{2i}.$$

Then

$$S_1(n) + S_2(n) = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2

We have $S_1(n) = \sum_k F_1(n, k)$ and $S_2(n) = \sum_k F_2(n, k)$, where

$$F_1(n, k) = \binom{2n+1}{k} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (6n - 2k + 4 - 2j) \right) (-1)^k 3^{2k+1},$$

$$F_2(n, k) = \binom{2n+1}{k} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (6n - 2k + 5 - 2j) \right) (-1)^k 3^{2k}.$$

Using Zeilberger's algorithm, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_1(n+2, k) + 24(36n^2 + 126n + 113)F_1(n+1, k) \\ & + 46656(n+1)^2(2n+3)^2F_1(n, k) \\ & = G_1(n, k+1) - G_1(n, k), \end{aligned}$$

where $G_1(n, k) = F_1(n, k)R_1(n, k)$ and $R_1(n, k)$ is some complicated rational function in n, k .

In case you would like details

$$\begin{aligned} & R_1(n, k) \\ = & - \frac{32k(3n - k + 2)}{(n - k + 1)(n - k + 2) \prod_{j=2}^5 (2n - k + j)} \cdot (264240 - 321108k + 142242k^2 \\ & - 27228k^3 + 1902k^4 + 1434774n - 1559605kn + 612100k^2n - 102647k^3n \\ & + 6194k^4n + 3361281n^2 - 3199801kn^2 + 1081204k^2n^2 - 152528k^3n^2 \\ & + 7484k^4n^2 + 4437783n^3 - 3594830kn^3 + 1003340k^2n^3 - 111631k^3n^3 \\ & + 3976k^4n^3 + 3611829n^4 - 2388503kn^4 + 515900k^2n^4 - 40234k^3n^4 \\ & + 784k^4n^4 + 1855833n^5 - 938595kn^5 + 139350k^2n^5 - 5712k^3n^5 \\ & + 587970n^6 - 201978kn^6 + 15444k^2n^6 + 105030n^7 - 18360kn^7 + 8100n^8). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2 completed

Telescoping

$$\begin{aligned} & F_1(n+2, k) + 24(36n^2 + 126n + 113)F_1(n+1, k) \\ & + 46656(n+1)^2(2n+3)^2F_1(n, k) \\ & = G_1(n, k+1) - G_1(n, k) \end{aligned}$$

gives the second order recurrence relation:

$$S_1(n+2) + 24(36n^2 + 126n + 113)S_1(n+1) + 46656(n+1)^2(2n+3)^2S_1(n) = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2 completed

Telescoping

$$\begin{aligned} & F_1(n+2, k) + 24(36n^2 + 126n + 113)F_1(n+1, k) \\ & + 46656(n+1)^2(2n+3)^2F_1(n, k) \\ & = G_1(n, k+1) - G_1(n, k) \end{aligned}$$

gives the second order recurrence relation:

$$S_1(n+2) + 24(36n^2 + 126n + 113)S_1(n+1) + 46656(n+1)^2(2n+3)^2S_1(n) = 0.$$

In the same way we found that $S_2(n)$ satisfies the **same** recurrence relation even though $G_2(n, k)$ is different from $G_1(n, k)$.

Proof of Theorem 2 completed

Telescoping

$$\begin{aligned} & F_1(n+2, k) + 24(36n^2 + 126n + 113)F_1(n+1, k) \\ & + 46656(n+1)^2(2n+3)^2F_1(n, k) \\ & = G_1(n, k+1) - G_1(n, k) \end{aligned}$$

gives the second order recurrence relation:

$$S_1(n+2) + 24(36n^2 + 126n + 113)S_1(n+1) + 46656(n+1)^2(2n+3)^2S_1(n) = 0.$$

In the same way we found that $S_2(n)$ satisfies the **same** recurrence relation even though $G_2(n, k)$ is different from $G_1(n, k)$.

It is easy to check that $S_1(0) = 6 = -S_2(0)$ and $S_1(1) = -3312 = -S_2(1)$. Hence

$$S_1(n) + S_2(n) = 0.$$

Theorem 2 can be stated in the standard notation of hypergeometric series.

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, 2n+2 \\ n+2 \end{matrix} \middle| 3^{-2} \right] \\ &= (-1)^n 3^{2n+1} \frac{(-n + \frac{1}{2})_{2n+1}}{(n+1)_{n+1} (n+2)_n} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} n + \frac{3}{2}, -2n-1 \\ -n + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| 3^{-2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

- N. Fernando, X. Hou, S. D. Lappano, *A new approach to permutation polynomials over finite fields, II*, Finite Fields Appl. **22** (2013), 122 – 158.
- X. Hou, *A class of permutation binomials over finite fields*, J. Number Theory, to appear.

Thank You!