Covering Arrays on Graphs

Karen Meagher

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Regina

CanaDAM, June 2013

Testing Systems

You have installed a new light switch to each of four rooms in your house and you want to test that you did it right.

Testing Systems

You have installed a new light switch to each of four rooms in your house and you want to test that you did it right.

A complete test would require $2^4 = 16$ tests!

Testing Systems

You have installed a new light switch to each of four rooms in your house and you want to test that you did it right.

A complete test would require $2^4 = 16$ tests!

room $\setminus$ test:	1	2	3	4	5
bedroom	0	0	1	1	1
hall	0	1	0	1	1
bathroom	0	1	1	0	1
kitchen	0	1	1	1	0

Testing Systems

You have installed a new light switch to each of four rooms in your house and you want to test that you did it right.

A complete test would require $2^4 = 16$ tests!

room \ test:	1	2	3	4	5
bedroom	0	0	1	1	1
hall	0	1	0	1	1
bathroom	0	1	1	0	1
kitchen	0	1	1	1	0

Testing Systems

You have installed a new light switch to each of four rooms in your house and you want to test that you did it right.

A complete test would require $2^4 = 16$ tests!

room \ test:	1	2	3	4	5
bedroom	0	0	1	1	1
hall	0	1	0	1	1
bathroom	0	1	1	0	1
kitchen	0	1	1	1	0

Testing Systems

You have installed a new light switch to each of four rooms in your house and you want to test that you did it right.

A complete test would require $2^4 = 16$ tests!

room \ test:	1	2	3	4	5
bedroom	0	0	1	1	1
hall	0	1	0	1	1
bathroom	0	1	1	0	1
kitchen	0	1	1	1	0

Testing Systems

You have installed a new light switch to each of four rooms in your house and you want to test that you did it right.

A complete test would require $2^4 = 16$ tests!

room \ test:	1	2	3	4	5
bedroom	0	0	1	1	1
hall	0	1	0	1	1
bathroom	0	1	1	0	1
kitchen	0	1	1	1	0

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.
- with entries from $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.
- with entries from $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ (k is the alphabet),

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.
- with entries from $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ (k is the alphabet),
- rows for **adjacent** vertices contain all possible pairs.

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.
- with entries from $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ (k is the alphabet),
- rows for **adjacent** vertices contain all possible pairs.



1	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	1	0	1	1
3	0	1	1	0	1
4	0	0	1	1	1
5	0	1	0	1	1
6	0	1	1	0	1
7	0	1	1	1	0

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.
- with entries from $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ (k is the alphabet),
- rows for **adjacent** vertices contain all possible pairs.



1	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	1	0	1	1
3	0	1	1	0	1
4	0	0	1	1	1
5	0	1	0	1	1
6	0	1	1	0	1
7	0	1	1	1	0

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.
- with entries from $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ (k is the alphabet),
- rows for **adjacent** vertices contain all possible pairs.



1	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	1	0	1	1
3	0	1	1	0	1
4	0	0	1	1	1
5	0	1	0	1	1
6	0	1	1	0	1
7	0	1	1	1	0

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.
- with entries from $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ (k is the alphabet),
- rows for **adjacent** vertices contain all possible pairs.



1	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	1	0	1	1
3	0	1	1	0	1
4	0	0	1	1	1
5	0	1	0	1	1
6	0	1	1	0	1
7	0	1	1	1	0

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.
- with entries from $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ (k is the alphabet),
- rows for **adjacent** vertices contain all possible pairs.



1	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	1	0	1	1
3	0	1	1	0	1
4	0	0	1	1	1
5	0	1	0	1	1
6	0	1	1	0	1
7	0	1	1	1	0

Covering Arrays on Graphs

A covering array on a graph G

- a $|V(G)| \times n$ array and each row corresponds to a vertex in the graph.
- with entries from $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ (k is the alphabet),
- rows for **adjacent** vertices contain all possible pairs.



1	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	1	0	1	1
3	0	1	1	0	1
4	0	0	1	1	1
5	0	1	0	1	1
6	0	1	1	0	1
7	0	1	1	1	0

Master Plan

The goal is to build a covering array with the fewest possible columns for a graph.

Master Plan

The goal is to build a covering array with the fewest possible columns for a graph.

So I tried to make the biggest graph on which I can still make a small covering array.

Master Plan

The goal is to build a covering array with the fewest possible columns for a graph.

So I tried to make the biggest graph on which I can still make a small covering array.

- The vertices are possible rows that can go into a covering array,

Master Plan

The goal is to build a covering array with the fewest possible columns for a graph.

So I tried to make the biggest graph on which I can still make a small covering array.

- The vertices are possible rows that can go into a covering array,
- and two are adjacent if they contain all possible pairs.

Master Plan

The goal is to build a covering array with the fewest possible columns for a graph.

So I tried to make the biggest graph on which I can still make a small covering array.

- The vertices are possible rows that can go into a covering array,
- and two are adjacent if they contain all possible pairs.

What are all rows that can go into a covering array?

When are the rows adjacent?

Larger Alphabets

The rows of a covering array with a k-alphabet and n columns determine k-partitions of an n-set.

Larger Alphabets

The rows of a covering array with a k-alphabet and n columns determine k-partitions of an n-set.

$$0\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 2\ 2 \qquad \text{or} \qquad 0\ 1\ 2\ 0\ 1\ 2\ 0\ 1\ 2$$

Larger Alphabets

The rows of a covering array with a *k*-alphabet and *n* columns determine *k*-partitions of an *n*-set.

0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	or	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	2
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

Larger Alphabets

The rows of a covering array with a k-alphabet and n columns determine k-partitions of an n-set.

0 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 2	or	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
1 2 3 | 4 5 6 | 7 8 9		1 4 7 | 2 5 8 | 3 6 9

Larger Alphabets

The rows of a covering array with a k-alphabet and n columns determine k-partitions of an n-set.

0 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 2	or	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
1 2 3 | 4 5 6 | 7 8 9		1 4 7 | 2 5 8 | 3 6 9

- Vertices are all partitions of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ into k parts.
- Two partitions $P = \{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$ and $Q = \{Q_1, \ldots, Q_k\}$ are adjacent if

$$P_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

Larger Alphabets

The rows of a covering array with a k-alphabet and n columns determine k-partitions of an n-set.

0 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 2	or	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
1 2 3 | 4 5 6 | 7 8 9		1 4 7 | 2 5 8 | 3 6 9

- Vertices are all partitions of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ into k parts.
- Two partitions $P = \{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$ and $Q = \{Q_1, \ldots, Q_k\}$ are adjacent if

$$P_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

(Called this *qualitatively independent* .)

Qualitative Independence Graph

Define the *qualitative independence graph* $QI(n, k)$ as follows:

Qualitative Independence Graph

Define the *qualitative independence graph* $QI(n, k)$ as follows:

- the vertex set is the set of all k-partitions of an n-set with every class of size at least k,

Qualitative Independence Graph

Define the *qualitative independence graph* $QI(n, k)$ as follows:

- the vertex set is the set of all k-partitions of an n-set with every class of size at least k,
- and vertices are connected if and only if the partitions are qualitatively independent.

Qualitative Independence Graph

Define the *qualitative independence graph* $QI(n, k)$ as follows:

- the vertex set is the set of all k-partitions of an n-set with every class of size at least k,
- and vertices are connected if and only if the partitions are qualitatively independent.

The graph $QI(5, 2)$:



Qualitative Independence Graph

Define the *qualitative independence graph* $QI(n, k)$ as follows:

- the vertex set is the set of all k-partitions of an n-set with every class of size at least k,
- and vertices are connected if and only if the partitions are qualitatively independent.

The graph $QI(5, 2)$:



By construction, it is possible to build a covering array on $QI(n, k)$ with n columns and a k alphabet.

Why is $QI(n, k)$ Interesting?

Theorem (Meagher and Stevens - 2002)

An r-clique in $QI(n, k)$ is a covering array with r rows, n-columns on a k alphabet.

Why is $QI(n,k)$ Interesting?

Theorem (Meagher and Stevens - 2002)

An r-clique in $QI(n,k)$ is a covering array with r rows, n-columns on a k alphabet.

Theorem (Meagher and Stevens - 2002)

A covering array on a graph G with n columns and alphabet k exists if and only if there is a graph homomorphism

$$G \rightarrow QI(n,k).$$

Facts for $QI(n,2)$

- The vertices of $QI(n,2)$ are partitions with 2 parts,

Facts for $QI(n, 2)$

- The vertices of $QI(n, 2)$ are partitions with 2 parts,
- so they are equivalents to sets.

Facts for $QI(n, 2)$

- The vertices of $QI(n, 2)$ are partitions with 2 parts,
- so they are equivalents to sets.

Sperner's Theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem

Facts for $QI(n, 2)$

- The vertices of $QI(n, 2)$ are partitions with 2 parts,
- so they are equivalents to sets.

Sperner's Theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem can be use to determine many facts about $QI(n, 2)$.

Facts for $QI(n,2)$

- The vertices of $QI(n,2)$ are partitions with 2 parts,
- so they are equivalents to sets.

Sperner's Theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem can be use to determine many facts about $QI(n,2)$.

- Maximum clique has size $\binom{n-1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}$

Facts for $QI(n,2)$

- The vertices of $QI(n,2)$ are partitions with 2 parts,
- so they are equivalents to sets.

Sperner's Theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem can be use to determine many facts about $QI(n,2)$.

- Maximum clique has size $\binom{n-1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}$
- $\chi(QI(n,2)) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} \right\rceil$

Facts for $QI(n, 2)$

- The vertices of $QI(n, 2)$ are partitions with 2 parts,
- so they are equivalents to sets.

Sperner's Theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem can be use to determine many facts about $QI(n, 2)$.

- Maximum clique has size $\binom{n-1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}$
- $\chi(QI(n, 2)) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} \right\rceil$
- A binary covering array on a graph can be assumed to have $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ zeros in each row

Facts for $QI(n, 2)$

- The vertices of $QI(n, 2)$ are partitions with 2 parts,
- so they are equivalents to sets.

Sperner's Theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem can be use to determine many facts about $QI(n, 2)$.

- Maximum clique has size $\binom{n-1}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1}$
- $\chi(QI(n, 2)) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} \right\rceil$
- A binary covering array on a graph can be assumed to have $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ zeros in each row (we called these balanaced).

Facts for $QI(k^2, k)$

The next graph to consider is $QI(k^2, k)$.

- Vertex set is the set of uniform k-partitions of a k^2-set,

Facts for $QI(k^2, k)$

The next graph to consider is $QI(k^2, k)$.

- Vertex set is the set of uniform k-partitions of a k^2-set, (call this set $U(k^2, k)$.)

Facts for $QI(k^2, k)$

The next graph to consider is $QI(k^2, k)$.

- Vertex set is the set of uniform k-partitions of a k^2-set, (call this set $U(k^2, k)$.)
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is a vertex-transitive graph.

Facts for $QI(k^2, k)$

The next graph to consider is $QI(k^2, k)$.

- Vertex set is the set of uniform k-partitions of a k^2-set, (call this set $U(k^2, k)$.)
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is a vertex-transitive graph.
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is an arc-transitive graph.

Facts for $QI(k^2, k)$

The next graph to consider is $QI(k^2, k)$.

- Vertex set is the set of uniform k-partitions of a k^2-set, (call this set $U(k^2, k)$.)
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is a vertex-transitive graph.
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is an arc-transitive graph.
- A clique in $QI(k^2, k)$ is an orthogonal array.

Facts for $QI(k^2, k)$

The next graph to consider is $QI(k^2, k)$.

- Vertex set is the set of uniform k-partitions of a k^2-set, (call this set $U(k^2, k)$.)
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is a vertex-transitive graph.
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is an arc-transitive graph.
- A clique in $QI(k^2, k)$ is an orthogonal array.
- The set of all partitions with 1 and 2 in the same class is an independent set

Facts for $QI(k^2, k)$

The next graph to consider is $QI(k^2, k)$.

- Vertex set is the set of uniform k-partitions of a k^2-set, (call this set $U(k^2, k)$.)
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is a vertex-transitive graph.
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is an arc-transitive graph.
- A clique in $QI(k^2, k)$ is an orthogonal array.
- The set of all partitions with 1 and 2 in the same class is an independent set of size $\frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{k+1}$.

Facts for $QI(k^2, k)$

The next graph to consider is $QI(k^2, k)$.

- Vertex set is the set of uniform k-partitions of a k^2-set, (call this set $U(k^2, k)$.)
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is a vertex-transitive graph.
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is an arc-transitive graph.
- A clique in $QI(k^2, k)$ is an orthogonal array.
- The set of all partitions with 1 and 2 in the same class is an independent set of size $\frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{k+1}$.

  1 2 3 | 4 5 6 | 7 8 9   1 2 7 | 4 5 8 | 3 6 9

Facts for $QI(k^2, k)$

The next graph to consider is $QI(k^2, k)$.

- Vertex set is the set of uniform k-partitions of a k^2-set, (call this set $U(k^2, k)$.)
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is a vertex-transitive graph.
- $QI(k^2, k)$ is an arc-transitive graph.
- A clique in $QI(k^2, k)$ is an orthogonal array.
- The set of all partitions with 1 and 2 in the same class is an independent set of size $\frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{k+1}$.

  1 2 3 | 4 5 6 | 7 8 9   1 2 7 | 4 5 8 | 3 6 9

Is this set the largest independent set in $QI(k^2, k)$?

Eigenvalues

- For every k,

Eigenvalues

- For every k,

$$(k!)^{k-1},$$

Eigenvalues

- For every k,

$$(k!)^{k-1}, \qquad -\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$$

are eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$.

Eigenvalues

- For every k,
$$(k!)^{k-1}, \qquad -\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$$
are eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$.
- By the ratio bound,

Eigenvalues

- For every k,
$$(k!)^{k-1}, \qquad -\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$$
are eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$.
- By the ratio bound, if $-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$ is the **least** eigenvalue, then

Eigenvalues

- For every k,
$$(k!)^{k-1}, \qquad -\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$$
are eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$.
- By the ratio bound, if $-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$ is the **least** eigenvalue, then
$$\alpha(QI(k^2,k)) \leq \frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{1-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}}} = \frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{k+1}$$

Eigenvalues

- For every k,

$$(k!)^{k-1}, \quad -\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$$

  are eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$.

- By the ratio bound, if $-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$ is the **least** eigenvalue, then

$$\alpha(QI(k^2,k)) \leq \frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{1 - \frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}}} = \frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{k+1}$$

  (this is the number of partitions that have $\{1, 2\}$ in the same part).

Eigenvalues

- For every k,
$$(k!)^{k-1}, \qquad -\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$$
are eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$.
- By the ratio bound, if $-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$ is the **least** eigenvalue, then
$$\alpha(QI(k^2,k)) \leq \frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{1 - \frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}}} = \frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{k+1}$$
(this is the number of partitions that have $\{1,2\}$ in the same part).
- If k is a prime power then this is the largest independent set,

Eigenvalues

- For every k,
$$(k!)^{k-1}, \qquad -\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$$
are eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$.
- By the ratio bound, if $-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}$ is the **least** eigenvalue, then
$$\alpha(QI(k^2,k)) \leq \frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{1 - \frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{-\frac{(k!)^{k-1}}{k}}} = \frac{|U(k^2,k)|}{k+1}$$
(this is the number of partitions that have $\{1,2\}$ in the same part).
- If k is a prime power then this is the largest independent set, because we have cliques of size $k+1$.

What are all the eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$?

What are all the eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$?

For $k = 3$ it is easy to calculate all the eigenvalues.

What are all the eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$?

For $k = 3$ it is easy to calculate all the eigenvalues.

(Mathon and Rosa, 1985) There is an association scheme on the uniform 3-partitions of a 9-set that contains $QI(9, 3)$.

What are all the eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$?

For $k = 3$ it is easy to calculate all the eigenvalues.

(Mathon and Rosa, 1985) There is an association scheme on the uniform 3-partitions of a 9-set that contains $QI(9, 3)$.

Table of eigenvalues:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & 27 & 162 & 54 & 36 & 1 \\ 1 & 11 & -6 & 6 & -12 & 27 \\ 1 & 6 & -6 & -9 & 8 & 48 \\ 1 & -3 & 12 & -6 & -4 & 84 \\ 1 & -3 & -6 & 6 & 2 & 120 \end{array}\right)$$

What are all the eigenvalues of $QI(k^2, k)$?

For $k = 3$ it is easy to calculate all the eigenvalues.

(Mathon and Rosa, 1985) There is an association scheme on the uniform 3-partitions of a 9-set that contains $QI(9, 3)$.

Table of eigenvalues:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 27 & 162 & 54 & 36 & 1 \\ 1 & 11 & -6 & 6 & -12 & 27 \\ 1 & 6 & -6 & -9 & 8 & 48 \\ 1 & -3 & 12 & -6 & -4 & 84 \\ 1 & -3 & -6 & 6 & 2 & 120 \end{pmatrix}$$

What is this association scheme and does it work for general k?

Wreath Products

- The subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ that is the stabilizer of a uniform k-partition is called the *wreath product* $\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)$.

Wreath Products

- The subgroup of Sym($k\ell$) that is the stabilizer of a uniform k-partition is called the *wreath product* Sym(ℓ) $\wr$ Sym(k).



$$|\,\text{Sym}(\ell) \wr \text{Sym}(k)| = \ell!^k k!$$

Wreath Products

- The subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ that is the stabilizer of a uniform k-partition is called the *wreath product* $\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)$.



$$|\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)| = \ell!^k k!$$

- Each uniform k-partition of a $k\ell$-set corresponds to a coset in $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)/(\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k))$.

Wreath Products

- The subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ that is the stabilizer of a uniform k-partition is called the *wreath product* $\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)$.



$$|\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)| = \ell!^k k!$$

- Each uniform k-partition of a $k\ell$-set corresponds to a coset in $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)/(\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k))$.
- The group $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ acts on the cosets,

Wreath Products

- The subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ that is the stabilizer of a uniform k-partition is called the *wreath product* $\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)$.



$$|\,\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)| = \ell!^k k!$$

- Each uniform k-partition of a $k\ell$-set corresponds to a coset in $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)/(\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k))$.
- The group $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ acts on the cosets, so we have a representation,

Wreath Products

- The subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ that is the stabilizer of a uniform k-partition is called the *wreath product* $\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)$.



$$|\,\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)| = \ell!^k k!$$

- Each uniform k-partition of a $k\ell$-set corresponds to a coset in $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)/(\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k))$.
- The group $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ acts on the cosets, so we have a representation, $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell k)}(1_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)\wr\mathrm{Sym}(k)})$.

Wreath Products

- The subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ that is the stabilizer of a uniform k-partition is called the *wreath product* $\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)$.



$$|\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)| = \ell!^k k!$$

- Each uniform k-partition of a $k\ell$-set corresponds to a coset in $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)/(\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k))$.
- The group $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ acts on the cosets, so we have a representation, $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell k)}(1_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)\wr\mathrm{Sym}(k)})$.
- We have an association scheme if and only if this representation has no repeated irreducibile representations in its decomposition.

Wreath Products

- The subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ that is the stabilizer of a uniform k-partition is called the *wreath product* $\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)$.



$$|\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)| = \ell!^k k!$$

- Each uniform k-partition of a $k\ell$-set corresponds to a coset in $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)/(\mathrm{Sym}(\ell) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(k))$.
- The group $\mathrm{Sym}(k\ell)$ acts on the cosets, so we have a representation, $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell k)}(1_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)\wr\mathrm{Sym}(k)})$.
- We have an association scheme if and only if this representation has no repeated irreducibile representations in its decomposition.
  (Such a representation is called *multiplicity free* .)

Multiplicity Free Representations

Theorem (Godsil and M. 2006)

$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathsf{Sym}(\ell k)}(1_{\mathsf{Sym}(\ell)\wr\mathsf{Sym}(k)})$ *is multiplicity-free if and only if* (ℓ, k) *is one of the following pairs:*

Multiplicity Free Representations

Theorem (Godsil and M. 2006)

$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell k)}(1_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)\wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)})$ *is multiplicity-free if and only if* (ℓ, k) *is one of the following pairs:*

(a) $(\ell, k) = (2, k)$;

(b) $(\ell, k) = (\ell, 2)$;

(c) (ℓ, k) *is one of* $(3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 3)$ *or* $(5, 3)$;

Multiplicity Free Representations

Theorem (Godsil and M. 2006)

$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell k)}(1_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)\wr\mathrm{Sym}(k)})$ *is multiplicity-free if and only if* (ℓ, k) *is one of the following pairs:*

(a) $(\ell, k) = (2, k)$;

(b) $(\ell, k) = (\ell, 2)$;

(c) (ℓ, k) *is one of* $(3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 3)$ *or* $(5, 3)$;

Multiplicity Free Representations

Theorem (Godsil and M. 2006)

$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell k)}(1_{\mathrm{Sym}(\ell)\wr \mathrm{Sym}(k)})$ *is multiplicity-free if and only if* (ℓ, k) *is one of the following pairs:*

(a) $(\ell, k) = (2, k)$;

(b) $(\ell, k) = (\ell, 2)$;

(c) (ℓ, k) *is one of* $(3,3), (3,4), (4,3)$ *or* $(5,3)$;

$QI(k^2, k)$ is in an association scheme only if $k = 3$.

We actually found all subgroups G of $\mathrm{Sym}(n)$ such that $\mathrm{ind}_{\mathrm{Sym}(n)}(1_G)$ is multiplicity free.

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:
   1.1 Can Sperner's theorem be extended to partitions?

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:
   1.1 Can Sperner's theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.2 Can the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem be extended to partitions?

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:
   1.1 Can Sperner's theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.2 Can the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.3 There are two ways to define intersection

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:
   1.1 Can Sperner's theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.2 Can the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.3 There are two ways to define intersection (one works, the other is still open)

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:
   1.1 Can Sperner's theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.2 Can the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.3 There are two ways to define intersection (one works, the other is still open)
   1.4 There is a huge amount of work on extending the EKR theorem to other objects.

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:
   1.1 Can Sperner's theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.2 Can the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.3 There are two ways to define intersection (one works, the other is still open)
   1.4 There is a huge amount of work on extending the EKR theorem to other objects.
2. What are the largest independent sets in $QI(k^2, k)$?

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:
   1.1 Can Sperner's theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.2 Can the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.3 There are two ways to define intersection (one works, the other is still open)
   1.4 There is a huge amount of work on extending the EKR theorem to other objects.
2. What are the largest independent sets in $QI(k^2, k)$?
   2.1 Do we have the least eigenvalue of $QI(k^2, k)$?

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:
    1.1 Can Sperner's theorem be extended to partitions?
    1.2 Can the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem be extended to partitions?
    1.3 There are two ways to define intersection (one works, the other is still open)
    1.4 There is a huge amount of work on extending the EKR theorem to other objects.
2. What are the largest independent sets in $QI(k^2, k)$?
    2.1 Do we have the least eigenvalue of $QI(k^2, k)$?
    2.2 What are the largest independent sets in $QI(n, k)$?

Other Directions

1. Sperner's theorem and the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem give significant information about $QI(n, 2)$:
   1.1 Can Sperner's theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.2 Can the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem be extended to partitions?
   1.3 There are two ways to define intersection (one works, the other is still open)
   1.4 There is a huge amount of work on extending the EKR theorem to other objects.
2. What are the largest independent sets in $QI(k^2, k)$?
   2.1 Do we have the least eigenvalue of $QI(k^2, k)$?
   2.2 What are the largest independent sets in $QI(n, k)$?
3. What are the interesting features of the association schemes from the subgroups of $\mathrm{Sym}(n)$?