

Choosability of Graphs with Bounded Order: Ohba's Conjecture and Beyond

Jonathan Noel¹

Joint work with

Bruce Reed,¹ Douglas West,² Hehui Wu,¹ Xuding Zhu²

¹McGill University, Montréal, Canada.

²Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China.

Choosability, aka List Colouring

Choosability, aka List Colouring

Given: A graph G and a list $L(v)$ of **available** colours for each $v \in V(G)$.

Choosability, aka List Colouring

Given: A graph G and a list $L(v)$ of **available** colours for each $v \in V(G)$.

Goal: To find an **acceptable colouring**, ie. a colouring f of the vertices such that

Choosability, aka List Colouring

Given: A graph G and a list $L(v)$ of **available** colours for each $v \in V(G)$.

Goal: To find an **acceptable colouring**, ie. a colouring f of the vertices such that

- 1 adjacent vertices receive different colours (f is proper), and

Choosability, aka List Colouring

Given: A graph G and a list $L(v)$ of **available** colours for each $v \in V(G)$.

Goal: To find an **acceptable colouring**, ie. a colouring f of the vertices such that

- 1 adjacent vertices receive different colours (f is proper), and
- 2 $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Choosability, aka List Colouring

Given: A graph G and a list $L(v)$ of **available** colours for each $v \in V(G)$.

Goal: To find an **acceptable colouring**, ie. a colouring f of the vertices such that

- 1 adjacent vertices receive different colours (f is proper), and
- 2 $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Problem: Find the **choice number** $\text{ch}(G)$:

Choosability, aka List Colouring

Given: A graph G and a list $L(v)$ of **available** colours for each $v \in V(G)$.

Goal: To find an **acceptable colouring**, ie. a colouring f of the vertices such that

- 1 adjacent vertices receive different colours (f is proper), and
- 2 $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Problem: Find the **choice number** $\text{ch}(G)$:

$\text{ch}(G)$ is the **minimum k** such that there is an acceptable colouring whenever $|L(v)| \geq k$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

$\chi(G)$ vs. $\text{ch}(G)$

Example (Erdős, Rubin and Taylor 1979):

$$\text{ch} \left(K_{\binom{2d-1}{d}, \binom{2d-1}{d}} \right) > d.$$

Example (Erdős, Rubin and Taylor 1979):

$$\text{ch} \left(K_{\binom{2d-1}{d}, \binom{2d-1}{d}} \right) > d.$$

Graph classes which are conjectured to satisfy $\text{ch} = \chi$:

- 1 **line graphs** of multigraphs (many origins...).
- 2 **total graphs** of multigraphs (Borodin, Kostochka, Woodall 1997).
- 3 **squares of graphs** (Kostochka and Woodall 2001).
- 4 **claw-free graphs** (Gravier and Maffray 1997).

Ohba's Conjecture

Ohba's Conjecture (2002)

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Ohba's Conjecture (2002)

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Motivating example:

Theorem (Erdős, Rubin, Taylor 1979).

$$\text{ch} \left(K_{\underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_k} \right) = k.$$

Ohba's Conjecture (2002)

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Motivating example:

Theorem (Erdős, Rubin, Taylor 1979).

$$\text{ch} \left(K_{\underbrace{2, 2, \dots, 2}_k} \right) = k.$$

Proof Ingredients. Induction and Hall's Theorem. □

Ohba's Conjecture (2002)

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Ohba's Conjecture (2002)

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Theorem (Reed and Sudakov 2002).

If $|V(G)| \leq (2 - o(1))\chi(G)$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Ohba's Conjecture (2002)

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Theorem (Reed and Sudakov 2002).

If $|V(G)| \leq (2 - o(1))\chi(G)$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Theorem (Kostochka, Stiebitz, Woodall 2011).

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$ and $\alpha(G) \leq 5$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Ohba's Conjecture (2002)

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Ohba's Conjecture (2002)

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Theorem (N., Reed, Wu 2012). Ohba's Conjecture is true!

Ohba's Conjecture (2002)

If $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$, then $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Theorem (N., Reed, Wu 2012). Ohba's Conjecture is true!

Proof Ingredients. Induction and Hall's Theorem. □

Key Definitions

- Let G be a graph such that $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$.

Key Definitions

- Let G be a graph such that $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$.
- Let L be a list assignment so that $|L(v)| \geq \chi(G)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Key Definitions

- Let G be a graph such that $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$.
- Let L be a list assignment so that $|L(v)| \geq \chi(G)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.
- Define $C := \cup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)$.

Key Definitions

- Let G be a graph such that $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$.
- Let L be a list assignment so that $|L(v)| \geq \chi(G)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.
- Define $C := \cup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)$.

Def: A colour $c \in C$ is *frequent* if it is contained in at least $\chi(G) + 1$ lists.

Key Definitions

- Let G be a graph such that $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$.
- Let L be a list assignment so that $|L(v)| \geq \chi(G)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.
- Define $C := \cup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)$.

Def: A colour $c \in C$ is *frequent* if it is contained in at least $\chi(G) + 1$ lists.

Def: A proper colouring f of G is *near-acceptable* if for every $v \in V(G)$ either

- $f(v) \in L(v)$, or

Key Definitions

- Let G be a graph such that $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$.
- Let L be a list assignment so that $|L(v)| \geq \chi(G)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.
- Define $C := \cup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)$.

Def: A colour $c \in C$ is *frequent* if it is contained in at least $\chi(G) + 1$ lists.

Def: A proper colouring f of G is *near-acceptable* if for every $v \in V(G)$ either

- $f(v) \in L(v)$, or
- $f(v)$ is frequent and $f^{-1}(f(v)) = \{v\}$.

Key Definitions

- Let G be a graph such that $|V(G)| \leq 2\chi(G) + 1$.
- Let L be a list assignment so that $|L(v)| \geq \chi(G)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.
- Define $C := \cup_{v \in V(G)} L(v)$.

Def: A colour $c \in C$ is *frequent* if it is contained in at least $\chi(G) + 1$ lists.

Def: A proper colouring f of G is *near-acceptable* if for every $v \in V(G)$ either

- $f(v) \in L(v)$, or
- $f(v)$ is frequent and $f^{-1}(f(v)) = \{v\}$.

Key Lemma: If there is a near-acceptable colouring, then there is an acceptable colouring.

Proof of the Key Lemma

Proof.

Proof of the Key Lemma

Proof. Let f be a near-acceptable colouring.

Proof of the Key Lemma

Proof. Let f be a near-acceptable colouring.

We construct an acceptable colouring f' with the same colour classes as f .

Proof of the Key Lemma

Proof. Let f be a near-acceptable colouring.

We construct an acceptable colouring f' with the same colour classes as f .

Let $V_f := \{f^{-1}(c) : c \in f(V(G))\}$.

Proof of the Key Lemma

Proof. Let f be a near-acceptable colouring.

We construct an acceptable colouring f' with the same colour classes as f .

Let $V_f := \{f^{-1}(c) : c \in f(V(G))\}$.

Let B_f be the bipartite graph with bipartition (V_f, C) where each $f^{-1}(c) \in V_f$ is joined to the colours of $\bigcap_{v \in f^{-1}(c)} L(v)$.

Proof of the Key Lemma

Proof. Let f be a near-acceptable colouring.

We construct an acceptable colouring f' with the same colour classes as f .

Let $V_f := \{f^{-1}(c) : c \in f(V(G))\}$.

Let B_f be the bipartite graph with bipartition (V_f, C) where each $f^{-1}(c) \in V_f$ is joined to the colours of $\bigcap_{v \in f^{-1}(c)} L(v)$.

If there is a matching in B_f that saturates V_f , then we are done.

Proof of the Key Lemma

Proof. Let f be a near-acceptable colouring.

We construct an acceptable colouring f' with the same colour classes as f .

Let $V_f := \{f^{-1}(c) : c \in f(V(G))\}$.

Let B_f be the bipartite graph with bipartition (V_f, C) where each $f^{-1}(c) \in V_f$ is joined to the colours of $\bigcap_{v \in f^{-1}(c)} L(v)$.

If there is a matching in B_f that saturates V_f , then we are done.

Otherwise, by Hall's Theorem, there is a set $S \subseteq V_f$ such that $|N_{B_f}(S)| < |S|$.

Beyond Ohba's Conjecture

Question: What about graphs on more than $2\chi(G) + 1$ vertices?

Question: What about graphs on more than $2\chi(G) + 1$ vertices?

In general, we **cannot** say that $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$

However, perhaps we can still obtain a good bound on ch in terms of χ with a **less restrictive** bound on $|V(G)|$.

Beyond Ohba's Conjecture

Question: What about graphs on more than $2\chi(G) + 1$ vertices?

In general, we **cannot** say that $\text{ch}(G) = \chi(G)$

However, perhaps we can still obtain a good bound on ch in terms of χ with a **less restrictive** bound on $|V(G)|$.

In particular, what is the best upper bound on $\text{ch}(G)$ for graphs which satisfy $|V(G)| \leq 3\chi(G)$?

Graphs for which $|V(G)| \leq 3\chi(G)$

Theorem (Kierstead 2000).

$$\text{ch} \left(K_{\underbrace{3, 3, \dots, 3}_k} \right) = \left\lceil \frac{4k - 1}{3} \right\rceil.$$

Theorem (Kierstead 2000).

$$\text{ch} \left(K_{\underbrace{3, 3, \dots, 3}_k} \right) = \left\lceil \frac{4k - 1}{3} \right\rceil.$$

Theorem (N., West, Wu, Zhu 2013). If $|V(G)| \leq 3\chi(G)$, then $\text{ch}(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{4\chi(G) - 1}{3} \right\rceil$.

The Full Theorem

Theorem (N., West, Wu, Zhu 2013). For every graph G ,

$$\text{ch}(G) \leq \max \left\{ \chi(G), \left\lceil \frac{|V(G)| + \chi(G) - 1}{3} \right\rceil \right\}.$$

Theorem (N., West, Wu, Zhu 2013). For every graph G ,

$$\text{ch}(G) \leq \max \left\{ \chi(G), \left\lceil \frac{|V(G)| + \chi(G) - 1}{3} \right\rceil \right\}.$$

Proof Ingredients. Induction and Hall's Theorem. □

Theorem (N., West, Wu, Zhu 2013). For every graph G ,

$$\text{ch}(G) \leq \max \left\{ \chi(G), \left\lceil \frac{|V(G)| + \chi(G) - 1}{3} \right\rceil \right\}.$$

Proof Ingredients. Induction and Hall's Theorem. □

Note: This result implies Ohba's Conjecture, as well as the result on graphs for which $|V(G)| \leq 3\chi(G)$.

A Theme: the choice number of $K_{m,m,\dots,m}$ might give an upper bound on the choice number of **all k -chromatic graphs on at most mk vertices.**

A Theme: the choice number of $K_{m,m,\dots,m}$ might give an upper bound on the choice number of **all k -chromatic graphs on at most mk vertices.**

This is true for $m = 1, 2, 3$.

A Theme: the choice number of $K_{m,m,\dots,m}$ might give an upper bound on the choice number of **all k -chromatic graphs on at most mk vertices.**

This is true for $m = 1, 2, 3$.

Question 1: Does this remain true for $m \geq 4$?

A Theme: the choice number of $K_{m,m,\dots,m}$ might give an upper bound on the choice number of **all k -chromatic graphs on at most mk vertices.**

This is true for $m = 1, 2, 3$.

Question 1: Does this remain true for $m \geq 4$?

Question 2: What is the choice number of $K_{\underbrace{4, 4, \dots, 4}_k}$?

A Theme: the choice number of $K_{m,m,\dots,m}$ might give an upper bound on the choice number of **all k -chromatic graphs on at most mk vertices.**

This is true for $m = 1, 2, 3$.

Question 1: Does this remain true for $m \geq 4$?

Question 2: What is the choice number of $K_{\underbrace{4, 4, \dots, 4}_k}$?

Lower bound: $\lfloor \frac{3k}{2} \rfloor$ due to Yang 2003.

Upper bound: $\lceil \frac{5k-1}{3} \rceil$ due to N., West, Wu, Zhu 2013.

Thanks

Thanks!
Questions?