

Morphic words and nested recurrence relations

Marcel Celaya¹ Frank Ruskey²

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Canada

²Department of Computer Science, University of Victoria, Canada

CanaDAM 2013

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Our result
- 3 An application: the vile numbers
- 4 Asymptotics

Morphic words

Morphic words

- A *morphism* σ is a function which transforms a word W by replacing each letter in W with a word according to some production rules.

Morphic words

- A *morphism* σ is a function which transforms a word W by replacing each letter in W with a word according to some production rules.
- For example, the Fibonacci morphism ϕ is defined over $\{0, 1\}$ by the rules $0 \rightarrow 1$, $1 \rightarrow 10$. So, for instance,

$$\phi(101) = 10110.$$

Morphic words

Morphic words

- If $\sigma(s)$ starts with s for some letter s , then we consider the iterates $s, \sigma(s), \sigma^2(s), \sigma^3(s), \dots$

Morphic words

- If $\sigma(s)$ starts with s for some letter s , then we consider the iterates $s, \sigma(s), \sigma^2(s), \sigma^3(s), \dots$
- For the morphism ϕ given by $1 \rightarrow 10, 0 \rightarrow 1$, these are:

$$\phi^0(1) = 1$$

$$\phi^1(1) = 10$$

$$\phi^2(1) = 101$$

$$\phi^3(1) = 10110$$

$$\phi^4(1) = 10110101$$

...

$$\phi^\omega(1) = 1011010110110\dots$$

Morphic words

- If $\sigma(s)$ starts with s for some letter s , then we consider the iterates $s, \sigma(s), \sigma^2(s), \sigma^3(s), \dots$
- For the morphism ϕ given by $1 \rightarrow 10, 0 \rightarrow 1$, these are:

$$\phi^0(1) = 1$$

$$\phi^1(1) = 10$$

$$\phi^2(1) = 101$$

$$\phi^3(1) = 10110$$

$$\phi^4(1) = 10110101$$


...

$$\phi^\omega(1) = 1011010110110\dots$$

- The limiting word of the sequence $\sigma^n(s)$ is denoted $\sigma^\omega(s)$, and is called a *morphic word*.

Hofstadter's G sequence

Hofstadter's G sequence




- In *Gödel, Escher, Bach: an Eternal Golden Braid*, Douglas Hofstadter introduces his famous *G* sequence, defined to be

$$G(n) = n - G(G(n - 1))$$

with the initial condition $G(1) = 1$.

Hofstadter's G sequence



- In *Gödel, Escher, Bach: an Eternal Golden Braid*, Douglas Hofstadter introduces his famous G sequence, defined to be

$$G(n) = n - G(G(n - 1))$$

with the initial condition $G(1) = 1$.

- The first few values of this sequence are:

$G(n)$	1	1	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	6	7	8	8
n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

Hofstadter's G sequence

Hofstadter's G sequence

- While investigating this sequence, Hofstadter realized that $G(n)$ has a very nice combinatorial characterization.

Hofstadter's G sequence

- While investigating this sequence, Hofstadter realized that $G(n)$ has a very nice combinatorial characterization.
- He considers an infinite tree consisting of two types of nodes, circle and square nodes:



Hofstadter's G sequence


- While investigating this sequence, Hofstadter realized that $G(n)$ has a very nice combinatorial characterization.
- He considers an infinite tree consisting of two types of nodes, circle and square nodes:



- Each square node has only two children—a square node followed by a circle node. A circle node, on the other hand, contains only a single child—a square node.

Hofstadter's G sequence

Hofstadter's G sequence



- Hofstadter noticed that with a suitable ordering of the nodes of \mathcal{T} , the parent of node n is node $G(n)$.

Grytczuk's interpretation

Grytczuk's interpretation

- We consider a second interpretation of the G sequence in terms of a morphic word, due to Jarosław Grytczuk (1994).

Grytczuk's interpretation

- We consider a second interpretation of the G sequence in terms of a morphic word, due to Jarosław Grytczuk (1994).
 - Start with $A = \phi^\omega(1)$, where ϕ is the Fibonacci morphism.

A: 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1

Grytczuk's interpretation

- We consider a second interpretation of the G sequence in terms of a morphic word, due to Jarosław Grytczuk (1994).
 - Start with $A = \phi^\omega(1)$, where ϕ is the Fibonacci morphism.
 - Write A under the 1's of A .

A: 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1
1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1

Grytczuk's interpretation

- We consider a second interpretation of the G sequence in terms of a morphic word, due to Jarosław Grytczuk (1994).
 - Start with $A = \phi^\omega(1)$, where ϕ is the Fibonacci morphism.
 - Write A under the 1's of A .
 - Fill the blanks with zeros to get B .

A:	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
B:	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1

Grytczuk's interpretation

- We consider a second interpretation of the G sequence in terms of a morphic word, due to Jarosław Grytczuk (1994).
 - Start with $A = \phi^\omega(1)$, where ϕ is the Fibonacci morphism.
 - Write A under the 1's of A .
 - Fill the blanks with zeros to get B .
 - Flip the bits of B to get C .

A:	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
B:	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
C:	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0

Grytczuk's interpretation

- We consider a second interpretation of the G sequence in terms of a morphic word, due to Jarosław Grytczuk (1994).
 - Start with $A = \phi^\omega(1)$, where ϕ is the Fibonacci morphism.
 - Write A under the 1's of A .
 - Fill the blanks with zeros to get B .
 - Flip the bits of B to get C .
- Remarkably, we find that $A = 1C!$

A: 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1

C: 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0

Grytczuk's interpretation

A:	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
B:	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
C:	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0

Grytczuk's interpretation

- Let $a(n)$, $b(n)$, $c(n)$ denote the partial sums of A, B, C. These three quantities are related:

$$b(n) = a(a(n))$$

$$c(n) = n - b(n)$$

$$a(n) = c(n - 1) + 1.$$

A:	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
B:	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
C:	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0

Grytczuk's interpretation

- Let $a(n)$, $b(n)$, $c(n)$ denote the partial sums of A, B, C. These three quantities are related:

$$b(n) = a(a(n))$$

$$c(n) = n - b(n)$$

$$a(n) = c(n - 1) + 1.$$

- By collapsing the above three relations, we get that $a(n)$ is Hofstadter's G sequence!

$$a(n) = n - a(a(n - 1)).$$

A:	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
B:	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
C:	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Our result**
- 3 An application: the vile numbers
- 4 Asymptotics

Generalizing the G sequence

Generalizing the G sequence

- Various generalizations of the G sequence have since been considered (Meek, van Rees 1981; Kiss, Zay 1990).

Generalizing the G sequence

- Various generalizations of the G sequence have since been considered (Meek, van Rees 1981; Kiss, Zay 1990).
- The main purpose of this talk is to introduce a larger family of sequences which include such generalizations and which satisfy a nested recurrence. As we will see, many of these sequences have already appeared in the literature in disguise.

Generalizing the G sequence

Generalizing the G sequence

- Consider the morphism σ given by the rules

$$r \rightarrow r0^s$$

$$0 \rightarrow 10^{r_1}$$

$$1 \rightarrow 20^{r_2}$$

$$2 \rightarrow 30^{r_3}$$

$$3 \rightarrow \dots$$

over the alphabet $\{r, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, where $R := (r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots)$ is a nonnegative integer sequence and $s \geq 1$.

Generalizing the G sequence

- Consider the morphism σ given by the rules

$$r \rightarrow r0^s$$

$$0 \rightarrow 10^{r_1}$$

$$1 \rightarrow 20^{r_2}$$

$$2 \rightarrow 30^{r_3}$$


$$3 \rightarrow \dots$$

over the alphabet $\{r, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, where $R := (r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots)$ is a nonnegative integer sequence and $s \geq 1$.


- When $R = (2, 0, 0, \dots)$ and $s = 1$, this morphism is

$$r \rightarrow r0, 0 \rightarrow 100, 1 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 4, \dots$$

Generalizing the G sequence




Generalizing the G sequence



$$r \rightarrow r0, 0 \rightarrow 100, 1 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 4, \dots$$

- By repeatedly applying this morphism starting with the letter r , we construct an infinite tree in the style of Hofstadter's tree.

Generalizing the G sequence



- For the tree given above, the first few values of $a(n)$ are:

$a(n)$	0	0	1	1	1	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	6
n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

Generalizing the G sequence

Generalizing the G sequence

Our main result is the following:

Generalizing the G sequence

Our main result is the following:

Theorem

Let $R = (r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots)$ be a nonnegative integer sequence and let $s \geq 1$ be an integer. For $n \geq s$, we have

$$a(n) = n - s - \sum_{i,j \geq 1} c_{i,j} a^i(n-j)$$

for some particular constants $c_{i,j}$.

Generalizing the G sequence

Generalizing the G sequence

- What are these $c_{i,j}$'s? Let's take our sequence R (say $R = (2, 0, 0, \dots)$) and write in rows each entry in unary:

$r_{i,j}$	1	2	3	4	j
1	1	1	0	0	(2)
2	0	0	0	0	(0)
3	0	0	0	0	(0)

i

Generalizing the G sequence

- What are these $c_{i,j}$'s? Let's take our sequence R (say $R = (2, 0, 0, \dots)$) and write in rows each entry in unary:

$r_{i,j}$	1	2	3	4	j
1	1	1	0	0	(2)
2	0	0	0	0	(0)
3	0	0	0	0	(0)

i

- Then subtract from each row the row above it:

$c_{i,j}$	1	2	3	4
1	1	1	0	0
2	-1	-1	0	0
3	0	0	0	0

Generalizing the G sequence

Generalizing the G sequence

$c_{i,j}$	1	2	3	4
1	1	1	0	0
2	-1	-1	0	0
3	0	0	0	0

- Thus, when $s = 1$ and $R = (2, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$, this recurrence is

$$a(n) = n - 1 - a(n-1) + a(a(n-1)) \\ - a(n-2) + a(a(n-2)).$$

Generalizing the G sequence

$c_{i,j}$	1	2	3	4
1	1	1	0	0
2	-1	-1	0	0
3	0	0	0	0

- Thus, when $s = 1$ and $R = (2, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$, this recurrence is

$$a(n) = n - 1 - a(n-1) + a(a(n-1)) \\ - a(n-2) + a(a(n-2)).$$

- This is a meta-Fibonacci sequence in disguise! (Ruskey 2006; Tanny 2010) Indeed, $b(n) := n - a(n)$ satisfies

$$b(n) = b(n-1 - b(n-1)) + b(n-2 - b(n-2)) + 1.$$

Grytczuk revisited

Grytczuk revisited

- Recall Grytczuk's interpretation of Hofstadter's G sequence:
 $G(n)$ counts the number of 1's in the length- n prefix of
 $\phi^\omega(1) = 10110101\dots$

Grytczuk revisited

- Recall Grytczuk's interpretation of Hofstadter's G sequence: $G(n)$ counts the number of 1's in the length- n prefix of $\phi^\omega(\mathbf{1}) = 10110101\dots$
- Similarly, $a(n)$ counts the number of nonzero symbols in the length- n prefix of $\mathbf{r}^{-1}\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r})$.

Grytczuk revisited

- Recall Grytczuk's interpretation of Hofstadter's G sequence: $G(n)$ counts the number of 1's in the length- n prefix of $\phi^\omega(\mathbf{1}) = 10110101\dots$
- Similarly, $a(n)$ counts the number of nonzero symbols in the length- n prefix of $\mathbf{r}^{-1}\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r})$.
- For our running example, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccccccc} \mathbf{r}^{-1}\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r}): & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ a(n): & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 \end{array}$$

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Our result
- 3 An application: the vile numbers**
- 4 Asymptotics

The vile numbers

The vile numbers

- Let $(c(n))_{n \geq 1}$ be the lexicographically smallest positive strictly increasing sequence which has the following property:
If x is in the sequence, then $2x$ is *not* in the sequence.

The vile numbers

- Let $(c(n))_{n \geq 1}$ be the lexicographically smallest positive strictly increasing sequence which has the following property:

If x is in the sequence, then $2x$ is *not* in the sequence.

- The first few terms are

$c(n)$	1	3	4	5	7	9	11	12	13	15	16	17	19
n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

The vile numbers

- Let $(c(n))_{n \geq 1}$ be the lexicographically smallest positive strictly increasing sequence which has the following property:

If x is in the sequence, then $2x$ is *not* in the sequence.

- The first few terms are

$c(n)$	1	3	4	5	7	9	11	12	13	15	16	17	19
n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

- These are the “vile” numbers. The terminology is due to A. S. Fraenkel (2010).

The vile numbers

The vile numbers

- Do these numbers satisfy a nested recurrence?

The vile numbers

- Do these numbers satisfy a nested recurrence?
- The answer is yes! In fact, this sequence is *generated* by the recurrence

$$c(n) = c(n-1) - c(c(n-1) - n + 2) \\ + c(n-2) - c(c(n-2) - n + 3) + 4,$$

with initial conditions $c(1) = 1$ and $c(2) = 3$.

The vile numbers

- Do these numbers satisfy a nested recurrence?
- The answer is yes! In fact, this sequence is *generated* by the recurrence

$$c(n) = c(n-1) - c(c(n-1) - n + 2) \\ + c(n-2) - c(c(n-2) - n + 3) + 4,$$

with initial conditions $c(1) = 1$ and $c(2) = 3$.

- This observation is due to Benoit Cloitre (2010).

The vile numbers

The vile numbers

- The Thue-Morse word, a very well-known word that arises in many contexts, is given by $\tau^\omega(0)$, where τ is the morphism $0 \rightarrow 01, 1 \rightarrow 10$. Hence

$$\tau^\omega(0) = 0110100110010110\dots$$

The vile numbers

- The Thue-Morse word, a very well-known word that arises in many contexts, is given by $\tau^\omega(0)$, where τ is the morphism $0 \rightarrow 01, 1 \rightarrow 10$. Hence

$$\tau^\omega(0) = 0110100110010110\dots$$

- Equivalently, we can write

$$\tau^\omega(0) = 0^1 1^2 0^1 1^1 0^2 1^2 0^2 1^1 0^1 \dots$$

The vile numbers

- The Thue-Morse word, a very well-known word that arises in many contexts, is given by $\tau^\omega(0)$, where τ is the morphism $0 \rightarrow 01, 1 \rightarrow 10$. Hence

$$\tau^\omega(0) = 0110100110010110\dots$$

- Equivalently, we can write

$$\tau^\omega(0) = 0^1 1^2 0^1 1^1 0^2 1^2 0^2 1^1 0^1 \dots$$

- It is known (Brlek, 1988) that the word $21122211\dots$ is equal to $\gamma^\omega(2)$, where γ is the morphism $2 \rightarrow 211, 1 \rightarrow 2$.

The vile numbers

- The Thue-Morse word, a very well-known word that arises in many contexts, is given by $\tau^\omega(0)$, where τ is the morphism $0 \rightarrow 01, 1 \rightarrow 10$. Hence


$$\tau^\omega(0) = 0110100110010110\dots$$

- Equivalently, we can write

$$\tau^\omega(0) = 0^1 1^2 0^1 1^1 0^2 1^2 0^2 1^1 0^1 \dots$$

- It is known (Brlek, 1988) that the word $21122211\dots$ is equal to $\gamma^\omega(2)$, where γ is the morphism $2 \rightarrow 211, 1 \rightarrow 2$.
- This word has also been shown (Allouche, Arnold, Berstel, Brlek, Jockusch, Plouffe, Sagan, 1997) to be the first differences sequence of $c(n)$.

The vile numbers



- Our result implies that the recurrence

$$a(n) = n - 2 - a(a(n-1)) - a(a(n-2)).$$

is the sequence of partial sums of $r^{-1}\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r})$, where σ is the morphism $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{r}00$, $0 \rightarrow 1$, $1 \rightarrow 100$.

The vile numbers

The vile numbers

- Up to a relabelling, $\gamma^\omega(2)$ and $\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r})$ are the same word:

$$\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r}0011100100100111\dots$$

$$\gamma^\omega(2) = \mathbf{2}1122211211211222\dots$$

The vile numbers

- Up to a relabelling, $\gamma^\omega(2)$ and $\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r})$ are the same word:

$$\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r}0011100100100111\dots$$

$$\gamma^\omega(2) = 21122211211211222\dots$$

- But since $c(n)$ is the sequence of partial sums of $1\gamma^\omega(2)$, we get that $c(n) = n + 1 + a(n - 2)$.

The vile numbers

- Up to a relabelling, $\gamma^\omega(2)$ and $\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r})$ are the same word:

$$\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{r}0011100100100111\dots$$

$$\gamma^\omega(2) = 21122211211211222\dots$$

- But since $c(n)$ is the sequence of partial sums of $1\gamma^\omega(2)$, we get that $c(n) = n + 1 + a(n - 2)$.
- Combining this equality with the recurrence for $a(n)$ yields the desired recurrence for $c(n)$.


Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Our result
- 3 An application: the vile numbers
- 4 Asymptotics**

- Given s and $R = (r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots)$, what is the asymptotic behaviour of $a(n)$?


Some observations

- Up close, these sequences appear to be somewhat irregular:



Some observations

- On a larger scale, however, a different picture emerges:



- From this observation it is natural to ask the following question: Given s and R , is $a(n)$ *asymptotically linear*? That is, does

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{n}$$

exist? If it does, what is this limit?

Asymptotics of recurrences with finitely many terms

Asymptotics of recurrences with finitely many terms

- It turns out we can say something when $R = (r_1, r_2, r_3 \dots)$ is a nonnegative integer sequence which is *eventually constant*; that is, there exists some $k \geq 1$ such that $r_i = r_k$ for all $i \geq k$.

Asymptotics of recurrences with finitely many terms

- It turns out we can say something when $R = (r_1, r_2, r_3 \dots)$ is a nonnegative integer sequence which is *eventually constant*; that is, there exists some $k \geq 1$ such that $r_i = r_k$ for all $i \geq k$.
- This condition is equivalent to the condition that the recurrence of $a(n)$ has only finitely many terms.

Asymptotics of recurrences with finitely many terms

Theorem

The limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{n}$$

exists iff at least one of the following two conditions holds:

- $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + \dots = 0$ or 1 .
- *The gcd of all indices i such that $r_i \geq 1$ is 1.*

If it exists, it is equal to the smallest positive root of the polynomial

$$(1 - x)(-1 + r_1x + \dots + r_{k-1}x^{k-1}) + r_kx^k.$$

A characterization of asymptotic linearity

A characterization of asymptotic linearity

Definition

Given a right-infinite word $W = w_1w_2w_3\dots$, the *frequency of the letter s in W* , if it exists, is the quantity

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \text{ of occurrences of } s \text{ in } w_1 \dots w_n}{n}.$$

A characterization of asymptotic linearity

Definition

Given a right-infinite word $W = w_1w_2w_3\dots$, the *frequency of the letter s in W* , if it exists, is the quantity

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \text{ of occurrences of } s \text{ in } w_1 \dots w_n}{n}.$$

- By Grytczuk's interpretation, $a(n)$ is asymptotically linear if and only if the frequency of the letter 0 in $\sigma^\omega(\mathbf{r})$ exists.

The frequency of letters in morphic words

The frequency of letters in morphic words

- For a morphism γ defined over an alphabet Σ , P. Michel (1975) showed that if $\gamma^n(s)$ contains every symbol in Σ for all n sufficiently large and all $s \in \Sigma$, then the frequency of every letter in $\gamma^\omega(s)$ exists and is positive.

The frequency of letters in morphic words


- For a morphism γ defined over an alphabet Σ , P. Michel (1975) showed that if $\gamma^n(s)$ contains every symbol in Σ for all n sufficiently large and all $s \in \Sigma$, then the frequency of every letter in $\gamma^\omega(s)$ exists and is positive.
- K. Saari (2006) strengthened this result by giving a sufficient and necessary condition for the frequency of all letters in $\gamma^\omega(s)$ morphic word to exist.

The frequency of letters in morphic words

- For a morphism γ defined over an alphabet Σ , P. Michel (1975) showed that if $\gamma^n(\mathbf{s})$ contains every symbol in Σ for all n sufficiently large and all $\mathbf{s} \in \Sigma$, then the frequency of every letter in $\gamma^\omega(\mathbf{s})$ exists and is positive.
- K. Saari (2006) strengthened this result by giving a sufficient and necessary condition for the frequency of all letters in $\gamma^\omega(\mathbf{s})$ morphic word to exist.
- Both results consider spectral properties of the incidence matrix M of γ , which is defined by $(M)_{ij} := |\gamma(\mathbf{s}_i)|_{\mathbf{s}_j}$.

An Example With No Limit

- When $s = 1$ and $R = (0, 2, 0, 0, \dots)$, this limit doesn't exist:



End

- Thanks for coming!